

Index theorem and Majorana zero modes along a non-Abelian vortex in a color superconductor

Takanori Fujiwara,¹ Takahiro Fukui,¹ Muneto Nitta,² and Shigehiro Yasui³

¹*Department of Physics, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan*

²*Department of Physics, and Research and Education Center for Natural Sciences, Keio University, 4-1-1 Hiyoshi, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8521, Japan*

³*KEK Theory Center, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies,*

High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), 1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, Japan

(Dated: January 20, 2013)

Color superconductivity in high density QCD exhibits the color-flavor locked (CFL) phase. To explore zero modes in the CFL phase in the presence of a non-Abelian vortex with an $SU(2)$ symmetry in the vortex core, we apply the index theorem to the Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) Hamiltonian. From the calculation of the topological index, we find that triplet, doublet and singlet sectors of $SU(2)$ have certain number of chiral Majorana zero modes in the limit of vanishing chemical potential. We also solve the BdG equation by the use of the series expansion to show that the number of zero modes and their chirality match the result of the index theorem. From particle-hole symmetry of the BdG Hamiltonian, we conclude that if and only if the index of a given sector is odd, one zero mode survives generically for a finite chemical potential. We argue that this result should hold nonperturbatively even in the high density limit.

PACS numbers: 21.65.Qr, 11.27.+d, 12.38.-t, 25.75.Nq

I. INTRODUCTION

Zero modes around a vortex in a superconductor have been attracting much current interest, providing us with intriguing notion of the Majorana fermion. Vortices in a $p_x + ip_y$ superconductor yield zero-energy Majorana bound states [1] which obey non-Abelian statistics [2–8]. Generically, these degenerate states are expected to be quite useful in constructing a fault-tolerant quantum computer [9, 10], and the idea for topological quantum computation utilizing non-Abelian anyons on a topological state of matter has been developed [11]. Majorana states have been predicted in many other systems such as a surface state of a topological insulator with the proximity effect of an s -wave superconductor [12] or of a ferromagnetic insulator [13], an s -wave superfluid of ultracold atoms [14], the superfluid ^3He B-phase [15], etc [16–23]. Not only in condensed matter physics but also in high density QCD with color superconductivity [24, 25], Majorana zero modes along an Abelian vortex [26] and along a non-Abelian vortex [27] in the CFL phase have been discussed, and new non-Abelian statistics has been derived [28]. Fermionic zero modes in the presence of topological background have also been explored in the standard model of the electro-weak interaction [29–34]. Recently, a classification scheme for zero modes associated with topological defects has been proposed [35, 36], which is a generalization of the topological classification for insulators and superconductors [37, 38].

Various methods of counting zero modes in such systems have been proposed [9, 39–48]. Among them, application [44] of the index theorem [39, 40] is unique in that it does not resort to any approximations. The index theorem has a long history and has elucidated, for example, topological characteristics of anomalies in gauge theories

[49]. The index theorem applied to the present problem is a variant, dealing with a fermion coupled to a condensate with a nontrivial topological defect. This rigorous theorem claims equivalence between following two quantities: One is associated with the number of zero modes which reflects the analytical property of a differential equation. This can be obtained if one indeed solves the eigenvalue equation [50, 51]. The other is associated with a topological invariant of the order parameter with a defect. The index theorem thus relates the zero modes with the topological configuration of a defect. It has been applied to a fermion describing a topological superconductor in 3D [52], and generalized to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ index theorem for a fermion without chiral symmetry [53].

In this paper, we apply the index theorem to the CFL phase of a color superconductor with a non-Abelian vortex $\Delta = \text{diag}(\Delta_Q, \Delta_Q, \Delta_q)$ with an $SU(2)$ symmetry in the vortex core, where Δ_q and Δ_Q have winding q and Q , respectively. We find that triplet, doublet and singlet sectors of $SU(2)$ have q , Q , and $2Q$ fermionic zero modes, respectively, propagating along a vortex line in the limit of vanishing chemical potential. These modes can be regarded as one-dimensional chiral Majorana fermions due to particle-hole symmetry. We obtain these results from the calculation of the topological index as well as from the analysis of the normalizability of the zero mode wave functions, and find complete agreement between them. We argue that when the chemical potential is switched on, no (or more precisely, even) zero modes are expected in the case of an even index, whereas one (or more precisely, odd) zero mode survives in the case of an odd index. This holds in each sector of $SU(2)$, and is due to spectral symmetry between positive- and negative-energy states of the BdG Hamiltonian. Therefore, it should be extrapolated even into very high density limit nonpertur-

batively.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section II, we derive effective Hamiltonians for triplet, doublet, and singlet states of SU(2) from the whole BdG Hamiltonian. In Sec. III, we give a brief review of the index theorem relevant to the present problem, and calculate the topological index for all sectors of SU(2). In Sec. IV, we switch to solving the BdG equation, and count the zero modes and their chiralities directly, from which we know the analytical index. We show that these two indices indeed coincide. In the final section V, summary and discussions are given. In Appendix A, we show that a singlet zero mode found in [27] together with a new solution diverges in a short distance and is non-normalizable although it was shown to converge in a large distance. In Appendix B, we give a brief review of the way to solve the differential equations of zero modes in a series expansion.

II. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

Under a non-Abelian vortex with an SU(2) symmetry, all eigenstates in the CFL phase are decomposed into multiplets of SU(2). Therefore, for later convenience, we reduce BdG Hamiltonian into smaller ones which act on each multiplet.

A. BdG equation and vortices

We start with a generic Hamiltonian with a given pairing gap of superconductivity,

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int d^3x \left[\psi_i^{\alpha\dagger} (-i\gamma^0 \gamma \cdot \nabla - \mu) \psi_i^\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} (\psi_i^{\alpha\dagger} \gamma_5 \mathcal{C}^\dagger \psi_j^{\beta*}) + \Delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta*} (\psi_j^{\beta T} \mathcal{C} \gamma_5 \psi_i^\alpha) \right] \\ &= \int d^3x \hat{\psi}_i^{\alpha\dagger} (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{tot}})_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \hat{\psi}_j^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where α, β and i, j are color and flavor indices, respectively, $\mathcal{C} = i\gamma^2 \gamma^0$ is the charge conjugation matrix, and $\Delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ is a generic gap function with $\Delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \Delta_{ji}^{\beta\alpha}$ due to Fermi statistics. In the last line, we have introduced the Nambu representation

$$\hat{\psi}_i^\alpha = (\psi_i^\alpha, (\psi_i^\alpha)^c)^T, \quad (2.2)$$

where $(\psi_i^\alpha)^c \equiv \mathcal{C} \bar{\psi}^T = i\gamma^2 \psi_i^{\alpha*}$ is the charge conjugation of ψ_i^α , and the total Hamiltonian density $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{tot}}$ is defined by

$$(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{tot}})_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \delta_{ij} \delta^{\alpha\beta} + \hat{\Delta}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\gamma^0 \gamma \cdot \nabla - \mu & -i\gamma^0 \gamma \cdot \nabla + \mu \\ \mathcal{H}_0 - \mu & \mathcal{H}_0 + \mu \end{pmatrix} \\ &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_0 - \mu & \\ & \mathcal{H}_0 + \mu \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{\Delta}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} &= \begin{pmatrix} & \Delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5 \gamma^0 \\ -\Delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta*} \gamma_5 \gamma^0 & \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In what follows, the hat means the Nambu representation with particle-hole symmetry, where ψ_i^α and $(\psi_i^\alpha)^c$ are referred to as a particle and a hole, respectively. This Hamiltonian density leads us to the BdG eigenvalue equation,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{tot}} \hat{\Psi} = E \hat{\Psi}. \quad (2.5)$$

Counting the zero modes of this equation is the main interest of the present paper. Here, the zero mode means a massless mode propagating along a vortex which will be specified momentarily.

Now we take three flavors ($i, j = u, d$, and s), and assume the following gap function in the CFL phase

$$\Delta_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{ijk} \Delta_\gamma^k, \quad (2.6)$$

which belongs to $\bar{3}$ representation with respect to SU(3)_C as well as SU(3)_F. Under the action of $(e^{i\theta}, U_C, U_F) \in U(1)_B \times SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_F$, the gap function transforms as

$$\Delta_\gamma^k \rightarrow e^{i\theta} (U_C)_\gamma^\alpha \Delta_\alpha^i (U_F^T)_i^k. \quad (2.7)$$

The gap function takes a form of

$$\Delta_\gamma^k = \Delta_{\text{CFL}} \mathbf{1} \quad (2.8)$$

in the ground state, where Δ_{CFL} is a constant. In this state, the so-called color-flavor locking symmetry SU(3)_{C+F}, which acts on the gap as

$$\Delta_\gamma^k \rightarrow (U \Delta U^\dagger)_\gamma^k \quad (2.9)$$

with $U \in \text{SU}(3)$, is preserved.

We consider a vortex state in the CFL phase in this paper. The minimal winding non-Abelian vortex, denoted by M_1 in [54], is given by

$$\Delta_\gamma^k = \text{diag}(\Delta_0, \Delta_0, \Delta_1), \quad (2.10)$$

where $\Delta_q(r, \theta) = |\Delta_q(r)| e^{iq\theta}$ stands for a gap function with a q -vorticity which obeys the boundary conditions $|\Delta_0(\infty)| = |\Delta_1(\infty)| = \Delta_{\text{CFL}}$ and $|\Delta_0(0)|' = |\Delta_1(0)| = 0$. This vortex carries a $1/3$ quantized circulation of $U(1)_B$ and a color magnetic flux is confined inside its core which is neglected in the BdG equation. The CFL symmetry SU(3)_{C+F} in Eq. (2.9) is spontaneously broken into SU(2)_{C+F} $\times$ U(1)_{C+F} around the core of the vortex. Consequently, Nambu-Goldstone bosonic zero

modes $\mathbb{C}P^2 \simeq \text{SU}(3)_{\text{C+F}}/[\text{SU}(2)_{\text{C+F}} \times \text{U}(1)_{\text{C+F}}]$ appear inside the core of the vortex [67] [55–58]. Properties of this vortex has been extensively studied in the Ginzburg-Landau model [54–59], and in the BdG equation [27, 28]. On the other hand, a non-Abelian vortex, denoted by M_2 [54],

$$\Delta_\gamma^k = \text{diag}(\Delta_1, \Delta_1, \Delta_0), \quad (2.11)$$

with the same boundary conditions on the diagonal elements Δ_q as in M_1 , preserves the same symmetry $\text{SU}(2)_{\text{C+F}} \times \text{U}(1)_{\text{C+F}}$ in the vortex core [68]. This vortex carries a $2/3$ quantized circulation of $U(1)_\text{B}$.

More generally, in this paper, we consider a bound state of q M_1 and Q M_2 non-Abelian vortices, for which

the gap function takes the form of

$$\Delta_\gamma^k = \text{diag}(\Delta_Q, \Delta_Q, \Delta_q). \quad (2.12)$$

The case of $q = 1$ and $Q = 0$ is the non-Abelian M_1 vortex above, while $q = 0$ and $Q = 1$ corresponds to the non-Abelian M_2 vortex. When $q \neq Q$, this vortex breaks $\text{SU}(3)_{\text{C+F}}$ to $\text{SU}(2)_{\text{C+F}} \times \text{U}(1)_{\text{C+F}}$. Therefore, the BdG eigenstates in (2.5) are classified as the $\text{SU}(2)$ multiplets. To derive an effective Hamiltonian in each multiplet is the main task in this section.

For a generic gap function in Eq. (2.12), we adopt the basis $\hat{\psi} = (\hat{u}_r, \hat{d}_g, \hat{s}_b, \hat{d}_r, \hat{u}_g, \hat{s}_r, \hat{u}_b, \hat{s}_g, \hat{d}_b)^T$ to write down the BdG equation in Eq. (2.5) such that [60, 61]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 & \hat{\Delta}_q & \hat{\Delta}_Q \\ \hat{\Delta}_q & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 & \hat{\Delta}_Q \\ \hat{\Delta}_Q & \hat{\Delta}_Q & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \\ & & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 & -\hat{\Delta}_q \\ & & -\hat{\Delta}_q & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \\ & & & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 & -\hat{\Delta}_Q \\ & & & -\hat{\Delta}_Q & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \\ & & & & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 & -\hat{\Delta}_Q \\ & & & & -\hat{\Delta}_Q & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_r \\ \hat{d}_g \\ \hat{s}_b \\ \hat{d}_r \\ \hat{u}_g \\ \hat{s}_r \\ \hat{u}_b \\ \hat{s}_g \\ \hat{d}_b \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_r \\ \hat{d}_g \\ \hat{s}_b \\ \hat{d}_r \\ \hat{u}_g \\ \hat{s}_r \\ \hat{u}_b \\ \hat{s}_g \\ \hat{d}_b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\hat{\Delta}_q$ is defined by

$$\hat{\Delta}_q = \begin{pmatrix} & & \Delta_q \gamma_5 \gamma^0 \\ -\Delta_q^* \gamma_5 \gamma^0 & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.14)$$

and $\hat{\Delta}_Q$ similarly.

Let us now derive an effective Hamiltonian which acts on each multiplet of $\text{SU}(2)_{\text{C+F}}$. To this end, it is convenient to write the particle states as a 3×3 matrix via $\psi_i^\alpha = (\psi)_{i\alpha}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_r & u_g & u_b \\ d_r & d_g & d_b \\ s_r & s_g & s_b \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{a=1}^9 \Psi_a T_a, \quad (2.15)$$

where T_a ($a = 1, \dots, 8$) is the generator of $\text{SU}(3)$ normalized by $\text{tr} T_a T_b = \delta_{ab}/2$ defined through the Gell-Mann matrices as $T_a = \lambda_a/2$ and $T_9 = (1/\sqrt{6} \cdot \mathbf{1})$. This equation implies that the particle states of the CFL phase can be regarded, if they are in the ground state specified by Eq. (2.8), as the adjoint representation of $\text{SU}(3)_{\text{C+F}}$, $\sum_{a=1}^8 \Psi_a T_a$ and an invariant state of a $\text{U}(1)$ subalgebra $\Psi_9 T_9$. The non-Abelian vortex in Eq. (2.12) tells that we can reduce this representation into those which are irreducible under $\text{SU}(2)_{\text{C+F}}$ generated by the subalgebra (T_1, T_2, T_3) . Under such subalgebra, (T_1, T_2, T_3) themselves transform as the adjoint (spin-1) representation,

$(T_4 + iT_5, T_6 + iT_7)$ and $(T_6 - iT_7, T_4 - iT_5)$ transform as the spin-1/2 representation, and T_8 and T_9 transform as spin-0 representation. Therefore, the states (2.15) are decomposed into one triplet, two doublets, and two singlets such that [27]

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_t &= u_g(T_1 + iT_2) + (u_r - d_g)T_3 + d_r(T_1 - iT_2), \\ \Psi_{d1} &= u_b(T_4 + iT_5) + d_b(T_6 + iT_7), \\ \Psi_{d2} &= s_g(T_6 - iT_7) + s_r(T_4 - iT_5), \\ \Psi_{s1} &= \frac{u_r + d_g - 2s_b}{\sqrt{3}} T_8, \\ \Psi_{s2} &= 2 \frac{u_r + d_g + s_b}{\sqrt{6}} T_9. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Likewise, the hole states can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_r^c & u_g^c & u_b^c \\ d_r^c & d_g^c & d_b^c \\ s_r^c & s_g^c & s_b^c \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{a=1}^9 \Psi_a^c T_a^*, \quad (2.17)$$

since they are complex conjugate of the particle states, $\psi^c = i\gamma^2 \psi^*$. Therefore, corresponding $\text{SU}(2)_{\text{C+F}}$ multi-

plets are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_t^c &= d_r^c(T_1 + iT_2) + (u_r^c - d_g^c)T_3 + u_g^c(T_1 - iT_2), \\
\Psi_{d1}^c &= d_b^c(T_4 + iT_5) + u_b^c(T_6 + iT_7), \\
\Psi_{d2}^c &= s_r^c(T_6 - iT_7) + s_g^c(T_4 - iT_5), \\
\Psi_{s1}^c &= \frac{u_r^c + d_g^c - 2s_b^c}{\sqrt{3}}T_8, \\
\Psi_{s2}^c &= 2\frac{u_r^c + d_g^c + s_b^c}{\sqrt{6}}T_9.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

It is now easy to see that the BdG equation in (2.13) is decoupled into small pieces acting on the above multiplets, as will be done below. Among them, our primary concern is the singlet states.

B. SU(2) doublet sector

The two doublet states, whose spaces are spanned by $\hat{u}_b, \hat{d}_b, \hat{s}_g$, and $\hat{s}_r$, are coupled only to the gap Δ_Q . Equation (2.13) shows that these are decoupled into $(\hat{s}_r, \hat{u}_b)$ and $(\hat{s}_g, \hat{d}_b)$. Considering $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0$ in Eq. (2.4) and $\hat{\Delta}_Q$ in Eq. (2.14), we see that among the states $(\hat{s}_r, \hat{u}_b)$, for example, (s_r, u_b^c) and (u_b, s_r^c) are decoupled, and $(\hat{s}_g, \hat{d}_b)$ likewise. This means that the Hamiltonian for $(\hat{s}_r, \hat{u}_b)$ and $(\hat{s}_g, \hat{d}_b)$ is block-diagonal, and BdG equation is decomposed into

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_0 - \mu & -\Delta_Q \gamma_5 \gamma^0 \\ \Delta_Q^* \gamma_5 \gamma^0 & \mathcal{H}_0 + \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \eta^c \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \eta^c \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$(\varphi, \eta^c) \equiv (s_r, u_b^c), (u_b, s_r^c), (s_g, d_b^c), (d_b, s_g^c). \tag{2.20}$$

It should be noted that the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2.19) can be simply given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 - \hat{\Delta}_Q. \tag{2.21}$$

Thus, this can be regarded as the effective Hamiltonian in the doublet states. We here mention that from Eqs. (2.16) and (2.18), the SU(2) quantum number T_3 reads $T_3 = -1/2, 1/2, 1/2$, and $-1/2$, respectively, for the paired states (s_r, u_b^c) , (u_b, s_r^c) , (s_g, d_b^c) , and (d_b, s_g^c) . This implies that the initial particle and hole paired states, e.g., (s_r, s_r^c) , switch their partners to (s_r, u_b^c) and so on in order to be the simultaneous eigenstates of T_3 as well as the Hamiltonian. In this representation, the new paired states are related by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \eta^c \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \varphi^c \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.22}$$

where anti-unitary particle-hole transformation C is defined by

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} & i\gamma^2 \\ i\gamma^2 & \end{pmatrix} K, \quad C^2 = 1, \tag{2.23}$$

with complex conjugation K . Correspondingly, the effective Hamiltonian (2.21) has indeed particle-hole symmetry,

$$C(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 - \hat{\Delta}_Q)C^{-1} = -(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 - \hat{\Delta}_Q), \tag{2.24}$$

as it should do. Although the BdG equation in the doublet states is described by single equation (2.19), the above particle-hole symmetry implies that if (φ, η^c) is regarded as particle states with an energy E , corresponding hole states are given by (η, φ^c) with energy $-E$. The Hamiltonian (2.21), which appears in Eq. (2.19), can thus be regarded as an effective Hamiltonian involved in the SU(2) doublets with correct SU(2) quantum numbers ($\sum_{a=1,2,3} T_a^2, T_3$) and with correct particle-hole symmetry described by C .

This Hamiltonian can be further decomposed into right- and left-handed modes, i.e., the eigenstates of γ_5 with eigenvalues $\gamma_5 = \pm 1$. Let (φ, η^c) be a paired SU(2) doublet state defined in Eq. (2.20), and let $\varphi = (\varphi_+, \varphi_-)$ and $\eta^c = (\eta_-^c, \eta_+^c)$ be the decomposition into right and left modes, where $+$ and $-$ mean the right and left modes, respectively. We use the following γ matrices in this paper,

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} & -\sigma \\ \sigma & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.25}$$

Then, the BdG equation (2.19) can be decomposed into

$$\begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma \cdot \nabla - \mu & -\Delta_Q \\ -\Delta_Q^* & i\sigma \cdot \nabla + \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_+ \\ \eta_+^c \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_+ \\ \eta_+^c \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} i\sigma \cdot \nabla - \mu & \Delta_Q \\ \Delta_Q^* & -i\sigma \cdot \nabla + \mu \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_- \\ \eta_-^c \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_- \\ \eta_-^c \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.27}$$

These equations define effective Hamiltonians $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d^\pm$ which act on SU(2) doublets with $\gamma_5 = \pm 1$ chirality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 - \hat{\Delta}_Q &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^+ - \hat{\Delta}_Q^+ & \\ & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^- - \hat{\Delta}_Q^- \end{pmatrix} \\
&\equiv \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_d^+ & \\ & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_d^- \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^\pm &= \pm \begin{pmatrix} -i\sigma \cdot \nabla & \\ & i\sigma \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix} - \mu \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\hat{\Delta}_Q^\pm &= \pm \begin{pmatrix} & \Delta_Q \\ \Delta_Q^* & \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

When we calculate the index in the next section, it is convenient to define new Γ matrices such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^j &= \sigma^j \otimes \sigma^3, \quad (j = 1, 2, 3) \\
\Gamma^4 &= 1 \otimes \sigma^1, \\
\Gamma^5 &= 1 \otimes \sigma^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

which satisfy the relation $\{\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu\} = 2\delta^{\mu\nu}$. Then, the Hamiltonians in the above can be written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d^\pm = \pm \hat{\mathcal{H}}_d + i\mu\Gamma^4\Gamma^5, \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_d &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla & -\Delta_Q \\ -\Delta_Q^* & i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -i\Gamma^j\partial_j + \Gamma^a\phi_a, \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

with $j = 1, 2, 3$, $a = 4, 5$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\phi} &\equiv (\phi_4, \phi_5) \\ &= (-\text{Re } \Delta_Q, \text{Im } \Delta_Q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

The Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d^\pm$ in Eq. (2.31) is the final expression of the effective Hamiltonian in the doublet states, and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d$ in Eq. (2.32) plays a crucial role in the index theorem in the next section.

This Hamiltonian belongs to the generic universality class of superconductors, class D [37, 38], since it transforms

$$\tilde{C}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d^\pm\tilde{C}^{-1} = -\hat{\mathcal{H}}_d^\pm, \quad (2.34)$$

under a reduced particle-hole transformation $\tilde{C}$ defined by

$$\tilde{C} = i\Gamma^2\Gamma^4K, \quad \tilde{C}^2 = 1, \quad (2.35)$$

which is associated with Eq. (2.23), acting on the spaces of right or left modes separately.

C. SU(2) triplet sector

The triplet states couple only to the gap Δ_q , and when $q = 1$, the explicit solutions of the zero modes have already been obtained in [27]. Equations (2.16) and (2.18) tell that three states $\hat{u}_g$, $\hat{d}_r$, and $\hat{u}_r - \hat{d}_g$ are involved in this sector. As to the $T_3 = \pm 1$ states, $\hat{u}_g$ and $\hat{d}_r$, it follows from Eq. (2.13) that they obey the same BdG equation as in the doublet sector if the gap function is replaced by $\hat{\Delta}_q$, and therefore, an effective Hamiltonian for paired state (d_r, u_g^c) and (u_g, d_r^c) is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 - \hat{\Delta}_q, \quad (2.36)$$

in the same sense as in Eq. (2.21). As to the $T_3 = 0$ state, $\hat{u}_r - \hat{d}_g$, it is easy to derive, from the BdG equation in Eq. (2.13), the following equation;

$$(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 - \hat{\Delta}_q)(\hat{u}_r - \hat{d}_g) = E(\hat{u}_r - \hat{d}_g), \quad (2.37)$$

whose Hamiltonian is just the same as the one in Eq. (2.36). Therefore, the Hamiltonian (2.36) can be regarded as an effective Hamiltonian in the triplet sector. Chiral decomposition is also carried out in the same way

as in the previous subsection, and the final Hamiltonian, which is referred to as $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_t^\pm$, is given by Eqs. (2.31) and (2.32) with a different order parameter

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\phi} &\equiv (\phi_4, \phi_5) \\ &= (-\text{Re } \Delta_q, \text{Im } \Delta_q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

This Hamiltonian also belongs to class D.

D. SU(2) singlet sector

While the doublet and triplet sectors have rather simple Hamiltonian basically equivalent to single flavor case [27], the singlet sectors couple to gaps with different winding, which could yield nontrivial zero modes. Actually, the two singlet states are coupled together through Δ_q and Δ_Q , whose BdG equations are derived from Eq. (2.13) such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \hat{\Delta}_q)(\hat{u}_r + \hat{d}_g) + 2\hat{\Delta}_Q\hat{s}_b &= E(\hat{u}_r + \hat{d}_g), \\ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0\hat{s}_b + \hat{\Delta}_Q(\hat{u}_r + \hat{d}_g) &= E\hat{s}_b. \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

Here, note that any linear combination of singlet states is always singlet, and hence $\hat{u}_r + \hat{d}_g$ and $\hat{s}_b$ are two singlet states. Let $((\hat{u}_r + \hat{d}_g)/\sqrt{2}, \hat{s}_b)^T$ be an extended wave function. Then, we can define a Hamiltonian which leads to Eq. (2.39) such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 + \hat{\Delta}_q & \sqrt{2}\hat{\Delta}_Q \\ \sqrt{2}\hat{\Delta}_Q & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.40)$$

This Hamiltonian can be regarded as an effective Hamiltonian in the singlet sector. Chiral decomposition similar to Eq. (2.28) leads to the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^\pm + \hat{\Delta}_q^\pm & \sqrt{2}\hat{\Delta}_Q^\pm \\ \sqrt{2}\hat{\Delta}_Q^\pm & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^\pm \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.41)$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^\pm$ and $\hat{\Delta}_Q^\pm$ (and $\hat{\Delta}_q^\pm$ similarly) are defined by Eq. (2.29). Let us define new Γ -matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^j &= \sigma^j \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes 1, \quad (j = 1, 2, 3) \\ \Gamma^4 &= 1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^1, \\ \Gamma^5 &= 1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^3, \\ \Gamma^6 &= 1 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes 1, \\ \Gamma^7 &= 1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes \sigma^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

which satisfy the relation $\{\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu\} = 2\delta^{\mu\nu}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^1\Gamma^2\Gamma^3 &= i1 \otimes \sigma^3 \otimes 1, \\ \Gamma^4\Gamma^5\Gamma^7 &= -i1 \otimes \sigma^1 \otimes 1, \\ \Gamma^5\Gamma^6\Gamma^7 &= i1 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^1, \\ \Gamma^6\Gamma^4\Gamma^7 &= i1 \otimes \sigma^2 \otimes \sigma^3. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Then, by the use of the new Γ matrices, the above Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_s^\pm = \pm \hat{\mathcal{H}}_s + i\mu\Gamma^1\Gamma^2\Gamma^3, \quad (2.44)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_s &= \begin{pmatrix} -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla & \Delta_q & 0 & \sqrt{2}\Delta_Q \\ \Delta_q^* & i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla & \sqrt{2}\Delta_Q^* & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}\Delta_Q & -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}\Delta_Q^* & 0 & 0 & i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -i\Gamma^j\partial_j + \Gamma^a\phi_a + \frac{i}{2}E^{abc}\psi_a\Gamma^b\Gamma^c\Gamma^7. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

Here, two kinds of indices take $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $a = 4, 5, 6$, E^{abc} stands for the completely antisymmetric tensor with $E^{456} = +1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\equiv (\phi_4, \phi_5, \phi_6) \\ &= (\sqrt{2}\text{Re } \Delta_Q, \frac{1}{2}\text{Re } \Delta_q, -\frac{1}{2}\text{Im } \Delta_q), \\ \psi &\equiv (\psi_4, \psi_5, \psi_6) \\ &= (\sqrt{2}\text{Im } \Delta_Q, \frac{1}{2}\text{Im } \Delta_q, \frac{1}{2}\text{Re } \Delta_q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

The Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_s^\pm$ thus obtained in (2.44) acts on the two singlet states with $\gamma_5 = \pm 1$, respectively.

This Hamiltonian also belongs to the same universality as the previous multiplets, class D, since it has particle-hole symmetry

$$\tilde{C}\hat{\mathcal{H}}_s^\pm\tilde{C}^{-1} = -\hat{\mathcal{H}}_s^\pm, \quad (2.47)$$

with a reduced particle-hole transformation

$$\tilde{C} = i\Gamma^1\Gamma^3\Gamma^6K, \quad \tilde{C}^2 = 1. \quad (2.48)$$

III. THE TOPOLOGICAL INDEX

In the previous section, we have decomposed the total Hamiltonian into several pieces acting on irreducible multiplets under $\text{SU}(2)_{\text{C+F}}$ with a definite chirality of $\gamma_5 = \pm 1$. These Hamiltonians, denoted as $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_m^\pm$ with $m = \text{d, t, and s}$, belong to universality class D of topological superconductors. We will omit the subscript m for simplicity in this section below. As discussed by Teo and Kane [35, 36], a line defect in class D allows in general protected zero modes of Majorana type propagating along a defect.

A. Zero modes along a vortex

To explore such Majorana zero modes, we assume that the vortex has a cylindrical profile described by the cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) such that

$$\Delta_q(r, \theta, z) = |\Delta_q(r)|e^{i\Theta_q(\theta)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where generic angle function $\Theta_q(\theta)$ has a winding q , $\Theta_q(2\pi) = \Theta_q(0) + 2\pi q$. We also assume for the radial part that $|\Delta_q(\infty)| = \Delta_{\text{CFL}} = \text{const.} > 0$ as well as $|\Delta_q(0)| = 0$ if $q \neq 0$ ($|\Delta_q(0)|' = 0$ for $q = 0$). To investigate the number of gapless modes, we first consider the case of the zero chemical potential, $\mu = 0$. For any multiplets, the Hamiltonian is then given by $\pm\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, as can be seen from Eqs. (2.31) and (2.44). Since the $\pm$ sign has nothing to do with the zero modes, only the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ will be henceforth investigated. The cylindrical symmetry in Eq. (3.1) enables us to separate the motion to the z -direction from others,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}(r, \theta, k_z) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp(r, \theta) + k_z\Gamma^3, \quad (3.2)$$

where the Fourier transformation has been made for the z -direction. Suppose that the eigenvalues for $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp$ are obtained such that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp\hat{\Psi}_E = E\hat{\Psi}_E$. Then, by the use of

$$\{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp, \Gamma^3\} = 0, \quad (\mu = 0), \quad (3.3)$$

we see that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp(\Gamma^3\hat{\Psi}_E) = -E(\Gamma^3\hat{\Psi}_E)$. We will refer to this property as Γ^3 -chiral symmetry of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp$. It thus turns out that the eigenfunctions of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ are linear combinations of $\hat{\Psi}_E$ and $\Gamma^3\hat{\Psi}_E$, and hence, their eigenvalues are those of

$$\begin{pmatrix} E & k_z \\ k_z & -E \end{pmatrix},$$

namely, $\pm\sqrt{k_z^2 + E^2}$. It follows that an $E = 0$ state of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp$ yields a zero mode of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$: Let $\hat{\Psi}_0$ be a zero-energy state of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp$. Due to Γ^3 -chiral symmetry, we can choose it as a simultaneous eigenstate of Γ^3 . Suppose $\Gamma^3\hat{\Psi}_{0\pm} = \pm\hat{\Psi}_{0\pm}$. Then, we see that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\Psi}_{0\pm} = \pm k_z\hat{\Psi}_{0\pm}$. Here, note that the phase of the wave function $\hat{\Psi}_{0\pm}(r, \theta)$ can be chosen such that

$$\tilde{C}\hat{\Psi}_{0\pm}(r, \theta) = \hat{\Psi}_{0\pm}(r, \theta), \quad (3.4)$$

because of particle-hole symmetry in Eqs. (2.34) or (2.47). This tells that the present mode along a vortex line is a chiral Majorana zero mode. Therefore, the number of such Majorana modes of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, denoted by $N_{\text{M}}(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$, is the same as the number of zero-energy bound states of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp$, denoted by $N_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp)$, which are classified by integers, *i.e.*, $\mathbb{Z}$. Namely,

$$N_{\text{M}}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}) = N_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp), \quad (\mu = 0). \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, if a nonzero chemical potential μ is switched on, it breaks the Γ^3 -chiral symmetry, since the chemical potential term in Eqs. (2.31) and (2.44), which will be referred to as $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu$, is not anti-commutative with Γ^3 . Let us write the Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}^\pm(r, \theta, k_z) &= \underbrace{\pm\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp(r, \theta) + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\mu}_{\equiv \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp^\pm(r, \theta)} + k_z\Gamma^3, \\ &\equiv \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp^\pm(r, \theta) + k_z\Gamma^3, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and let us consider the zero-energy states of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^{\pm}(r, \theta)$. When μ is small, we can treat the term $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mu}$ as a perturbation to the unperturbed degenerate zero-energy states of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ [44]. We then expect that without any special symmetries, even number of zero modes of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ become generically nonzero-energy modes with energies $\pm \varepsilon_j$, whereas odd number of zero modes allow at least one unpaired zero mode. It may sometimes happen by chance that several zero modes occur if we fine-tune parameters of the model, but the evenness or oddness of this number should be invariant. We can see this alternatively from the symmetry property of the model: Particle-hole symmetry guarantees that the spectrum is symmetric with respect to the zero energy. This implies that if we consider the spectral flow as a function of μ , the number of zero modes modulo 2 should be invariant even towards a very large values of μ ,

$$N_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^{\pm}) = N_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) \mod 2, \quad (\mu \neq 0). \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore, the above number is a topological invariant characterizing the class D superconductors.

Next let us consider the zero modes of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm}$. As has been discussed, when $\mu = 0$, we have simultaneous eigenstates of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^{\pm}$ and Γ^3 , implying they are also eigenstates of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm}$ for any k_z . This is due to Γ^3 -chiral symmetry when $\mu = 0$. Contrary to this case, a zero-energy state of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^{\pm}$ is not an eigenstates of Γ^3 any longer when $\mu \neq 0$, which makes it difficult to find out an eigenstate of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm}$ for generic k_z . However, at least when $k_z = 0$, it is indeed an eigenstate of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm}$ with the zero energy, and therefore, we can claim the existence of a gapless mode, although we cannot know its exact dispersion relation. In Ref. [27], an effective theory for this gapless mode has been derived. Even with a finite chemical potential, the model has particle-hole symmetry and we can choose wave function with Majorana-like condition. We thus conclude that the number of gapless Majorana modes of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm}$ for $\mu \neq 0$ is equivalent to the number of the zero-energy states of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ for $\mu = 0$ modulo 2,

$$N_M(\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm}) = N_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) \mod 2, \quad (\mu \neq 0). \quad (3.8)$$

In this sense, even if we are interested in CFL phase realized at very high density QCD, it is important to study the case of the zero chemical potential. Therefore, we will concentrate on the number of zero-energy states of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ in each multiplet.

Now we switch to the discussion on the index of the Hamiltonian. When $\mu = 0$, we can define the following index,

$$\text{ind } \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} = N_+(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) - N_-(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}), \quad (\mu = 0), \quad (3.9)$$

where $N_{\pm}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp})$ is the number of zero-energy states of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ with the definite Γ^3 -chirality, $\Gamma^3 = \pm 1$, respectively. This is actually possible, since Eq. (3.3) guarantees that zero-energy states can be simultaneous eigenstates of Γ^3 . Since $N_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) = N_+(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) + N_-(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp})$, we have $N_0(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) = \text{ind } (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) \mod 2$. Together with (3.7), we finally reach

$$N_M(\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm}) = \text{ind } (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) \mod 2, \quad (\mu \neq 0). \quad (3.10)$$

Although we have defined the number of zero modes modulo 2, the typical number is 0 and 1 when the index is even and odd, respectively. We expect that any other numbers can appear only by chance or due to some symmetries.

B. Index Theorem

The index theorem states that the index defined above in Eq. (3.9) can be written by the topological invariant. To see this, let us rewrite the index as follows [40, 44];

$$\text{ind } \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} = \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \text{Tr } \Gamma^3 \frac{m^2}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + m^2}, \quad (3.11)$$

where Tr stands for the trace over the 2D coordinate space as well as over the Γ -matrices. In the derivation of the index theorem, a central role is played by the axial vector current defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J^j(x, m, M) &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \text{tr } \Gamma^3 \Gamma^j \left(\frac{1}{-i\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} + m} - \frac{1}{-i\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} + M} \right) \delta^2(x - y), \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \text{tr } \Gamma^3 \Gamma^j (i\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + m^2} - \frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + M^2} \right) \delta^2(x - y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where we have introduced a Pauli-Villars regulator with a large mass parameter M to make the current well-defined. After all calculations, we should take the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} = -i\Gamma^j \partial_j + \delta\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$, as in the case above. Then, the divergence of the current yields the index such that

$$\partial_j J^j(x, m, M) = 2 \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \text{tr } \Gamma^3 \left(\frac{m^2}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + m^2} - \frac{M^2}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + M^2} \right) \delta^2(x - y). \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, we reach

$$\text{ind } \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} = c + \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow 0 \\ M \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{2} \oint_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{ij} J^i(x, m, M) dx^j, \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$c = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr } \Gamma^3 \frac{M^2}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + M^2}. \quad (3.15)$$

It is known that c becomes the Chern number associated with the gauge potential [40]. In the present case, it vanishes, since we have neglected a color magnetic flux confined in the vortex.

C. Doublet and triplet states

Let us first review the calculations of the topological index, *i.e.*, the right-hand-side of Eq. (3.14) in the simpler cases of the doublets and triplet, which is basically the same as the model already studied [40, 44].

In both cases of doublets and triplet, the effective Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ in Eq. (3.14) is constructed by Eqs. (2.32) and (3.2) with Eq. (2.33) for the doublets and with Eq. (2.38) for the triplet. The momentum representation for Eq. (3.12) yields

$$J^j = \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-ikx} \text{tr } \Gamma^3 \Gamma^j (i\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) \frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + m^2} e^{ikx}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $j = 1, 2$, and contributions from the regulator have been neglected, since the above current is well-defined, as seen below. This is due to the fact that Γ^j for $j = 1, 2$ and the order parameter $\Gamma^a \phi_a$ are anti-commutative. However, it should be noted that if one considers more generic systems, it plays an important role generically. For convenience, especially for comparison with the singlet sector, we introduce the following notations,

$$e^{-ikx} (\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + m^2) e^{ikx} = K - \Lambda, \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K &\equiv k^2 + \phi^2 + m^2, \\ \Lambda &\equiv i\Gamma^j \Gamma^a \partial_j \phi_a + 2ik_j \partial_j + \partial_j^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

with $k^2 = \sum_{j=1}^2 k_j^2$ and $\phi^2 = \sum_{a=1}^2 \phi_a^2$. Here ϕ_a is given in Eq. (2.33) for the doublet sectors and Eq. (2.38) for the triplet. Expansion $(K - \Lambda)^{-1} = \sum_n (K^{-1} \Lambda)^n K^{-1} = \sum_n \Lambda^n / K^{n+1}$ leads us to

$$J^j = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{K^{n+1}} \text{tr } \Gamma^3 \Gamma^j (i\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} + i\Gamma^{\ell} k_{\ell}) \Lambda^n. \quad (3.19)$$

This expansion is quite useful, since the index theorem (3.14) needs the current only at $|x| \equiv r \rightarrow \infty$:

The assumption $|\phi| \rightarrow \Delta_{\text{CFL}} = \text{const.}$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$ tells that $\partial_j \phi_a \sim O(r^{-1})$. Therefore, we see that the terms $n \geq 2$ in Eq. (3.19) vanish when substituted into (3.14). On the other hand, the $n = 0$ term also vanishes by the trace. Therefore, only $n = 1$ term can contribute to the index, and we finally reach, by the use of $\text{tr } \Gamma^3 \Gamma^j \Gamma^{\ell} \Gamma^a \Gamma^b = (2i)^2 \epsilon^{j\ell} \epsilon^{ab}$,

$$\begin{aligned} J^j &= \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{K^2} \text{tr } \Gamma^3 \Gamma^j i\Gamma^b \phi_b i\Gamma^{\ell} \Gamma^b \partial_{\ell} \phi_b + O(r^{-2}) \\ &= (2i)^2 \epsilon^{j\ell} \epsilon^{ab} \phi_a \partial_{\ell} \phi_b \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{K^2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi(\phi^2 + m^2)} \epsilon^{j\ell} \epsilon^{ab} \phi_a \partial_{\ell} \phi_b. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

At the infinity $r \rightarrow \infty$, we see $\phi/|\phi| = (-\cos \Theta_Q, \sin \Theta_Q)$ for the doublets, and $\phi/|\phi| = (-\cos \Theta_q, \sin \Theta_q)$ for the triplets. Taking the limit $m \rightarrow 0$, we finally have

$$\text{ind } \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\theta (\partial_{\theta} \Theta) = \begin{cases} Q & (\text{doublet}) \\ q & (\text{triplet}) \end{cases}, \quad (3.21)$$

This result claims that the 2D Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ allows at least Q (q) zero modes for each doublet (triplet) state, and therefore, the 3D Hamiltonian with a vortex line $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ in Eq. (3.2) has at least Q (q) gapless modes along the vortex. When the chemical potential is taken into account, it follows from the discussion in Sec. III A that the doublet (triplet) allow a zero mode if Q (q) is odd. We have found that the non-Abelian M_1 vortex ($q = 1$ and $Q = 0$) has one triplet zero mode as explicitly found in [27], while the non-Abelian M_2 vortex ($q = 0$ and $Q = 1$) turns out to have one doublet zero mode.

D. Singlet states

So far we have calculated the index of the 2D Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ for both the doublet and the triplet states, which are basically the same Hamiltonian already studied. On the other hand, the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ for the singlet states, which is defined by Eqs. (2.45) and (3.2), is rather complicated and quite unique, since two kinds of different vortices, Δ_q and Δ_Q , are involved simultaneously. In the limiting case where $\Delta_Q = 0$, the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ is decoupled into upper and lower halves. The upper is completely the same as the triplet Hamiltonian, whereas the lower is just a free fermion Hamiltonian. The index of the former and the latter is q and 0, respectively. However, once the nontrivial Δ_Q is switched on, it is quite interesting to ask which winding, Δ_q or Δ_Q , controls the zero modes of the Hamiltonian.

Although the calculations are parallel to III C, the existence of the terms composed of three Γ -matrices in Eq. (2.45) makes them quite complicated. First, note that

$$e^{-ikx}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + m^2)e^{ikx} = [-i\Gamma^j(\partial_j + ik_j) + \Gamma^a\phi_a + (i/2)E^{abc}\psi_a\Gamma^a\Gamma^b\Gamma^7]^2 + m^2 \\ = K + \Gamma - \Lambda, \quad (3.22)$$

with $j = 1, 2$ and $a, b, c = 4, 5, 6$, where ϕ_a and ψ_a are those in Eq. (2.46) for the singlets, and

$$K \equiv k^2 + m^2 + \phi^2 + \psi^2, \\ \Gamma \equiv 2iE^{abc}\Gamma^7\Gamma^a\phi_b\psi_c, \\ \Lambda \equiv i\Gamma^j\Gamma^a\partial_j\phi_a - \frac{1}{2}E^{abc}\Gamma^j\Gamma^b\Gamma^c\Gamma^7\partial_j\psi_a + 2ik_j\partial_j + \partial_j^2, \quad (3.23)$$

with $\phi^2 = \sum_{a=1}^3 \phi_a^2$ and $\psi^2 = \sum_{a=1}^3 \psi_a^2$. Then, the expansion of the kernel $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + m^2)^{-1}$ is also quite useful,

$$J^i = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-ikx} \text{tr} \Gamma^3 \Gamma^i (i\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}) \frac{1}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}^2 + m^2} e^{ikx} \\ = \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \text{tr} \Gamma^3 \Gamma^i [\Gamma^j(\partial_j + ik_j) + i\Gamma^a\phi_a - (1/2)E^{abc}\psi_a\Gamma^b\Gamma^c\Gamma^7] \frac{1}{K + \Gamma - \Lambda} \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \text{tr} \Gamma^3 \Gamma^i [\Gamma^j(\partial_j + ik_j) + i\Gamma^a\phi_a - (1/2)E^{abc}\psi_a\Gamma^b\Gamma^c\Gamma^7] \left(\frac{K - \Gamma}{K^2 - \Gamma^2} \Lambda \right)^n \frac{K - \Gamma}{K^2 - \Gamma^2}. \quad (3.24)$$

Here, we have also neglected the regulator with mass M . In the last equation, it is enough to calculate only the $n = 1$ term for the same reason as in Sec. III C: After taking the trace, we have

$$J^j = 8\epsilon^{j\ell} \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(K^2 - \Gamma^2)^2} \left\{ [K^2 - 2K(\phi^2 + \psi^2) + \Gamma^2] \phi \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\ell} \psi + 2K(\phi^2 - \psi^2) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\ell} (\phi \cdot \psi) \right\}, \quad (3.25)$$

where $\phi \cdot \psi = \sum_{a=1}^3 \phi_a \psi_a$ and $\phi \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\ell} \psi = \phi \cdot \partial_{\ell} \psi - (\partial_{\ell} \phi) \cdot \psi$. By the use of $\Gamma^2 = 4(\phi^2 \psi^2 - (\phi \cdot \psi)^2)$ and the momentum integrations

$$\int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{K}{(K^2 - \Gamma^2)^2} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{1}{(\phi^2 + \psi^2 + m^2)^2 - 4(\psi^2 \phi^2 - (\phi \cdot \psi)^2)}, \\ \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{K^2 + \Gamma^2}{(K^2 - \Gamma^2)^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\phi^2 + \psi^2 + m^2}{(\phi^2 + \psi^2 + m^2)^2 - 4(\psi^2 \phi^2 - (\phi \cdot \psi)^2)},$$

we end up with

$$J^j = \epsilon^{j\ell} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{m^2 \phi \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\ell} \psi + (\phi^2 - \psi^2) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\ell} (\phi \cdot \psi)}{(\phi^2 + \psi^2 + m^2)^2 - 4(\phi^2 \psi^2 - (\phi \cdot \psi)^2)}. \quad (3.26)$$

When the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ is taken, the following two cases (1. $\Delta_Q \neq 0$ and 2. $\Delta_Q = 0$) should be considered separately.

1. Generic case: $\Delta_Q \neq 0$

In this generic case, including the case of $Q = 0$ (but $\Delta_{Q=0} \neq 0$), we can take the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ directly in

Eq. (3.26). Then, we have

$$J^j \rightarrow \epsilon^{j\ell} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{(\phi^2 - \psi^2) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\ell} (\phi \cdot \psi)}{(\phi^2 - \psi^2)^2 + 4(\phi \cdot \psi)^2} \\ = \frac{1}{\pi |\Phi|^2} \epsilon^{j\ell} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \Phi_{\alpha} \partial_{\ell} \Phi_{\beta}, \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$\frac{\Phi}{|\Phi|} = \frac{(\phi^2 - \psi^2, 2\phi \cdot \psi)}{\sqrt{(\phi^2 - \psi^2)^2 + 4(\phi \cdot \psi)^2}} \\ = (\cos 2\Theta_Q, \sin 2\Theta_Q). \quad (3.28)$$

This equation implies that the index of the Hamiltonian is determined solely by the vortex Δ_Q . Moreover, the index is twice the winding number of Δ_Q :

$$\text{ind } \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\theta \partial_{\theta} (2\Theta_Q) = 2Q. \quad (3.29)$$

This is the central result in the former part of the present paper: The topological index of the singlet states is $2Q$ in generic cases. Therefore, in the typical case of $q = 1$ and $Q = 0$ (but $\Delta_{Q=0} \neq 0$), we conclude that the index is zero, implying no zero modes generically. Of course, we cannot deny the existence of even number of zero modes, since the index is not the number of zero modes. However, in such cases, those zero modes are unstable, and small perturbations yield gaps for them [44]. In this sense, we expect in general no zero modes in the singlet states. Actually, in the next Sec. IV, we solve the differential equation for zero modes directly, and reach the same conclusion.

We have thus concluded that the non-Abelian M_1 vortex ($q = 1$ and $Q = 0$) and M_2 vortex ($q = 0$ and $Q = 1$) has no singlet zero modes, but it seems to be inconsistent with the result in [27], in which an asymptotic form of a singlet zero mode at large distance from the vortex core was given for the M_1 vortex. In Appendix A we will show that the given asymptotic solution actually diverges at short distance $r \rightarrow 0$ around the vortex core. We will present another solution which is also well-defined at $r \rightarrow \infty$. However, it diverges as well at short distance. Therefore those are non-normalizable modes, which the index does not count. We thus conclude that no contradiction exists.

2. Exceptional case: $\Delta_Q = 0$

Next, let us consider the exceptional case, $\Delta_Q = 0$. Although we do not need any concrete calculations to know the index as discussed already, we show this case below to check the validity of our calculations. When $\Delta_Q = 0$, a naive limit $m \rightarrow 0$ makes the denominator of Eq. (3.26) vanish. However, note that $\phi^2 = \psi^2$ and $\phi \cdot \psi = 0$ when $\Delta_Q = 0$. Thus, we can take the limit $m \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} J^j &= \epsilon^{j\ell} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{m^2 \phi \cdot \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\ell \psi}{m^4 + 4\phi^2 m^2} \\ &\rightarrow -\frac{1}{\pi|\phi|^2} \epsilon^{j\ell} \epsilon^{ab} \phi_a \partial_\ell \phi_b, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where $\phi/|\phi| = (0, \cos \Theta_q, -\sin \Theta_q)$. Therefore,

$$\text{ind } \hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp = q. \quad (3.31)$$

We have thus obtained the expected index: As discussed, when $\Delta_Q = 0$, the Hamiltonian decouples into two pieces. One is the same as the Hamiltonian for the triplet states, and the other is a free fermion Hamiltonian. It is obvious without any calculations that the former and the latter give the index q and 0, respectively. Once a nonzero Δ_Q is introduced, however small it may be, the index jumps from q to $2Q$, as seen above.

IV. ANALYTICAL INDEX — COUNTING ZERO MODES

So far we have calculated the topological index for the doublet, triplet, and singlet states as a winding number of the pairing gap function. In this section, we explore normalizable solutions of the equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp(r, \theta) \hat{\Psi}(r, \theta) = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

To this end, we assume $\Theta_q(\theta) = q\theta$ and $\Theta_Q(\theta) = Q\theta$ in this section. The merit of such an analysis is that it informs us of the number of zero-energy states and their Γ^3 -chiralities, N_+ and N_- , separately.

A. Doublet and triplet states

For doublet and triplet states, the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_\perp$ is defined by Eqs. (2.32) and (3.2). In a suitable basis in which $\Gamma^3 = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$, Eq. (4.1) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp,-} \\ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp,+} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Psi}_+ \\ \hat{\Psi}_- \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\pm$ denotes the Γ^3 -chirality, $\Gamma^3 = \pm 1$, and

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp,\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_\pm & -|\Delta_q(r)|e^{iq\theta} \\ -|\Delta_q(r)|e^{-iq\theta} & i\partial_\mp \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.3)$$

with $\partial_\pm = \partial_1 \pm i\partial_2 = e^{\pm i\theta}(\partial_r \pm \frac{i}{r}\partial_\theta)$. This is the equation for the triplet, and if q is replaced by Q , it becomes for the doublets. We set the (r, θ) -dependence of the wave functions such that

$$\hat{\Psi}_{m\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_m(r)e^{\pm im\theta} \\ i\beta_m(r)e^{i(\pm m - q \pm 1)\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.4)$$

where m is a quantum number associated with the angular momentum. Then, the equation for the radial part is given by

$$\left(\frac{d}{dr} - \frac{M_\pm}{r} + \Omega \right) \psi = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

where $\psi = (\alpha_m, \beta_m)^T$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_\pm &= \text{diag}(m, -m - 1 \pm q), \\ \Omega &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\Delta_q| \\ |\Delta_q| & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Counting zero-energy states for this model has been carried out by Jackiw and Rossi [51]. As they have assumed, it may be physically natural to consider the asymptotic gap function at $r \rightarrow 0$ as $|\Delta_q| \sim r^{|q|}$. We here assume generically

$$\Omega = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Omega_n r^n, \quad (4.7)$$

where $\Omega_0 = 0$, when $q \neq 0$. From a mathematical point of view, the difference between $|\Delta_q| \sim r^{|q|}$ and $|\Delta_q| \sim r$ does not affect the number of zero-energy states. However, the latter is given by log-corrections in the series expansion of the solutions. See Appendix B for details. Since Eq. (4.5) is composed of two first-order differential equations, general solution has two parameters: One of these parameters is determined by the normalization of the wave function. As a result, the general solution has *one free parameter*. Let $\psi(r)$ be the general solution of Eq. (4.5). We analyze the asymptotic behavior of $\psi(r)$ below.

First, let us start with the consideration on the behavior of $\psi(r)$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$, where we can neglect the term $1/r$ in Eq. (4.5). The gap function is constant there, $|\Delta_q(r)| \rightarrow \Delta_{\text{CFL}} (> 0)$. Then, the set of equations (4.5) allows two independent solutions $\sim e^{\lambda_{\pm} r}$, where $\lambda_{\pm} = \pm \Delta_{\text{CFL}}$ are eigenvalues of Ω . It follows that the general solution $\psi(r)$ becomes at $r \rightarrow \infty$ a linear combination of such exponentially decreasing and increasing functions $\psi(r) \rightarrow \sum_{i=\pm} a_i e^{\lambda_i r}$, where a_i is a constant vector. For the wave function to be normalizable, we impose *one condition* on the wave function that $e^{+\Delta_{\text{CFL}} r}$ should vanish, $a_+ = 0$. This means that one free parameter mentioned above is determined completely. One normalizable solution of Eq. (4.5) thus corresponds to one zero-energy solution for $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$.

Next, let us consider the normalizability of the wave function at $r \rightarrow 0$. For Ω in Eq. (4.7), Eq. (4.5) can

be solved by the series expansion techniques. Details are given in Appendix B. It turns out that two particular solutions are allowed in this limit as well, which are written in power series of r such that

$$\psi^{(i)} = \psi_{n_i}^{(i)} r^{n_i} + \psi_{n_i+1}^{(i)} r^{n_i+1} + \dots, \quad (4.8)$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where the leading power n_i is one of the diagonal elements of M , *i.e.*, $n_1 = m$ and $n_2 = -m - 1 + q$ for $\Gamma^3 = +1$ and $n_1 = m$ and $n_2 = -m - 1 - q$ for $\Gamma^3 = -1$. It should be noted that the general solution $\psi(r)$ becomes a linear combination of $\psi^{(i)}(r)$ in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$ and that ψ has no free parameter any longer. It follows that both $\psi^{(1)}$ and $\psi^{(2)}$ should be normalizable. The normalizability of $\psi^{(i)}$ in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$ is guaranteed by $n_i \geq 0$. Namely, $0 \leq m \leq q - 1$ for $\Gamma^3 = +1$ and $0 \leq m \leq -q - 1$ for $\Gamma^3 = -1$. Accordingly, (1) when $1 \leq q$, $N_+ = q$ and $N_- = 0$, (2) when $q = 0$, $N_+ = N_- = 0$, and (3) when $q \leq -1$, $N_+ = 0$ and $N_- = -q$. Thus, we end up with $\text{ind } \mathcal{H}_{\perp} = N_+ - N_- = q$ in any cases above. We have thus reproduced the index in Eq. (3.21) indeed.

B. Singlet states

For singlet states, the Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp}$ is defined by Eqs. (2.45) and (3.2). In a suitable basis, the zero mode equation becomes Eq. (4.2) with

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\perp, \pm} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\partial_{\pm} & |\Delta_q(r)|e^{iq\theta} & 0 & \sqrt{2}|\Delta_Q(r)|e^{iQ\theta} \\ |\Delta_q(r)|e^{-iq\theta} & i\partial_{\mp} & \sqrt{2}|\Delta_Q(r)|e^{-iQ\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}|\Delta_Q(r)|e^{iQ\theta} & -i\partial_{\pm} & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}|\Delta_Q(r)|e^{-iQ\theta} & 0 & 0 & i\partial_{\mp} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.9)$$

We set the (r, θ) -dependence of the wave functions such that

$$\hat{\Psi}_{m\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_m(r)e^{\pm im\theta} \\ -i\beta_m(r)e^{i(\pm m - q \pm 1)\theta} \\ \gamma_m(r)e^{i(\pm m - q + Q)\theta} \\ -i\delta_m(r)e^{i(\pm m - Q \pm 1)\theta} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.10)$$

Define $\psi = (\alpha_m, \beta_m, \gamma_m, \delta_m)^T$. Then, the equation for the radial part is given by the same as Eq. (4.5), where

$$M_{\pm} = \text{diag}(m, -m - 1 \pm q, m \pm (Q - q), -m - 1 \pm Q),$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\Delta_q| & 0 & \sqrt{2}|\Delta_Q| \\ |\Delta_q| & 0 & \sqrt{2}|\Delta_Q| & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}|\Delta_Q| & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}|\Delta_Q| & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.11)$$

We also assume the series expansion Eq. (4.7) for Ω . Counting the zero-energy states will be carried out simi-

larly to the previous case. From Eq. (4.11), it is obvious that when $|\Delta_Q(r)| = 0$, Eq. (4.5) is reduced to two pieces; One corresponds to the triplet states studied above, and the other is just free fermions. Therefore, in the following analysis, we assume $|\Delta_Q(r)| \neq 0$ generically.

Equation (4.5) is now composed of four differential equations. Therefore, in the present case, the general solution includes four parameters, and one of them is determined by the normalization of the wave function. The general solution has thus *three free parameters*. This is the difference between the present singlet case and previous doublet or triplet cases. Let $\psi(r)$ be the general solution of Eq. (4.5).

Let us first consider the asymptotic behavior of $\psi(r)$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$, where the $1/r$ term can be neglected in Eq. (4.5). We assume that two gap function approach the same constant, $|\Delta_q(\infty)| = |\Delta_Q(\infty)| = \Delta_{\text{CFL}} = \text{const.}(> 0)$. Then, $\psi(r)$ becomes a linear combination of the four in-

dependent solutions $\psi \rightarrow \sum_i a_i e^{\lambda_i r}$ with $\lambda_{\pm 1} = \pm \Delta_{\text{CFL}}$ and $\lambda_{\pm 2} = \pm 2\Delta_{\text{CFL}}$, where a_i are constant vectors. For the wave function to be normalizable, we should impose *two conditions* $a_{+1} = 0$ and $a_{+2} = 0$. Therefore, the general solution has still *one free parameter*. This is in sharp contrast to the previous case in which the general solution has no free parameters at this stage.

Let us next consider the behavior of $\psi(r)$ at $r \rightarrow 0$. In this region, we can solve Eq. (4.5) by using the power series expansion of the wave functions. For details, see Appendix B. We show there that the set of four equations allow four special solutions (4.8) whose leading power n_i ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) is given by the diagonal elements of $M_{\pm}$ defined by Eq. (4.11). It follows that, near $r \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\psi \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^4 c_i \psi^{(i)}, \quad (4.12)$$

where c_i is a constant. When we consider the normalizability of the wave function $\psi(r)$, one free parameter plays a crucial role, since *one of n_i can be negative*. In what follows, we restrict our discussions to $\Gamma^3 = +1$ case, for simplicity.

Suppose $Q \geq 0$. Then, the four powers are given by $n_1 = m$, $n_2 = -m - 1 + q$, $n_3 = m + Q - q$ and $n_4 = -m - 1 + Q$ for $\Gamma^3 = +1$ states. We should have some cases separately.

(I) Case of $Q \leq q$.

- (1) If all n_i are non-negative, $0 \leq n_{1,2,3,4}$, we have $q - Q \leq m \leq Q - 1$. In this case, all $\psi^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) are normalizable at $r \rightarrow 0$. This implies that we do not need to impose any conditions of normalizability on $\psi(r)$. Remember here that the general solution $\psi(r)$ has *one free parameter*. Therefore, $\psi(r)$ is a linear combination of two independent solutions. In other words, this case allows degenerate two solutions for each quantum number m . Therefore, we have

$$N_+ = \begin{cases} 2(2Q - q) & (q \leq 2Q) \\ 0 & (2Q \leq q) \end{cases}, \quad (4.13)$$

where, the factor 2 above means two independent solutions.

- (2) If $n_1 < 0$, $0 \leq n_{2,3,4}$, no m is possible.
- (3) If $n_2 < 0$, $0 \leq n_{1,3,4}$, no m is possible.
- (4) If $n_3 < 0$, $0 \leq n_{1,2,4}$, we have

$$0 \leq m \leq \begin{cases} q - Q - 1 & (q \leq 2Q) \\ Q - 1 & (2Q \leq q) \end{cases}. \quad (4.14)$$

Since $n_3 < 0$, this particular solution should be eliminated from $\psi(r)$ at $r \rightarrow 0$ given in

Eq. (4.12) by imposing the additional condition $c_3 = 0$. This determines one free parameter which $\psi(r)$ has, and normalizable $\psi(r)$ thus obtained gives one solution for each quantum number m . Therefore,

$$N_+ = \begin{cases} q - Q & (q \leq 2Q) \\ Q & (2Q \leq q) \end{cases}. \quad (4.15)$$

- (5) If $n_4 < 0$, $0 \leq n_{1,2,3}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} Q \\ q - Q \end{cases} \leq m \leq q - 1 \quad \begin{matrix} (q \leq 2Q) \\ (2Q \leq q) \end{matrix}. \quad (4.16)$$

For the same reason as in the case of (4), for each allowed m , one zero-energy state is given, and the number of the zero-energy states are thus

$$N_+ = \begin{cases} q - Q & (q \leq 2Q) \\ Q & (2Q \leq q) \end{cases}. \quad (4.17)$$

Summing up N_+ over the cases from (1) to (5), we conclude that the number of zero-energy state is given by $N_+ = 2Q$ in the case of $Q \leq q$.

(II) Case of $q \leq Q$.

- (1) If $0 \leq n_{1,2,3,4}$, we have $0 \leq m \leq q - 1$. Since no additional conditions of the normalizability need to be imposed, there are two degenerate solutions for each m . Therefore, we have

$$N_+ = \begin{cases} 2q & (0 \leq q) \\ 0 & (q \leq 0) \end{cases}. \quad (4.18)$$

Note here the factor 2, as discussed in (I) (1).

- (2) If $n_1 < 0$, $0 \leq n_{2,3,4}$, we have

$$q - Q \leq m \leq \begin{cases} -1 & (0 \leq q) \\ q - 1 & (q \leq 0) \end{cases}. \quad (4.19)$$

Since each allowed m gives one zero-energy state, and the number of the zero-energy state is given by

$$N_+ = \begin{cases} Q - q & (0 \leq q) \\ Q & (q \leq 0) \end{cases}. \quad (4.20)$$

- (3) If $n_2 < 0$, $0 \leq n_{1,3,4}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} q \\ 0 \end{cases} \leq m \leq Q - 1 \quad \begin{matrix} (0 \leq q) \\ (q \leq 0) \end{matrix}. \quad (4.21)$$

The number of the zero-energy state is

$$N_+ = \begin{cases} Q - q & (0 \leq q) \\ Q & (q \leq 0) \end{cases}. \quad (4.22)$$

- (4) If $n_3 < 0$, $0 \leq n_{1,2,4}$, no m is possible.

(5) If $n_4 < 0$, $0 \leq n_{1,2,3}$, no m is possible.

It turns out that the number of zero-energy states is also given by $N_+ = 2Q$ in this case.

So far we have counted the zero-energy states with $\Gamma^3 = +1$ in the case of $Q \geq 0$. In a similar way, we immediately see that there are no solutions allowed in the $\Gamma^3 = -1$ states. Thus we conclude that $N_+ = 2Q$ and $N_- = 0$ when $Q \geq 0$. On the other hand, in the case of $Q \leq 0$, we can show that $N_+ = 0$ and $N_- = 2|Q|$. These results match precisely the topological index given by Eq. (3.29).

In the above analysis, we have used just the leading power of $\psi_i(r)$. In Appendix B, we discuss the higher orders of the series expansion and show how to construct the solutions. This formulation may be interesting on its own right, in particular, in that we need to introduce $(\ln r)^n$ ($n \leq 3$) terms to obtain four independent solutions.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSIONS

Non-Abelian vortices exist in the CFL phase of color superconductivity. We have calculated the topological and analytical indices for fermion zero modes of non-Abelian vortices $\Delta = \text{diag}(\Delta_Q, \Delta_Q, \Delta_q)$ which preserve $SU(2)_{C+F}$ symmetry in their core, where Δ_Q and Δ_q have winding Q and q , respectively. Because of $SU(2)_{C+F}$ symmetry unbroken in the core of the vortex, the BdG Hamiltonian is decomposed into some sectors according to the irreducible representations of $SU(2)_{C+F}$; triplet, doublet and singlet sectors. In the limit of the zero chemical potential $\mu = 0$, the topological indices for the triplet, doublet and singlet zero modes of $SU(2)_{C+F}$ have been calculated to be q , Q and $2Q$, respectively. We have also analyzed the BdG equations, and from the normalizability of the wave function we have obtained the same indices of the zero modes. Because of particle-hole symmetry, these zero modes can be regarded as chiral Majorana fermion modes. For non-zero chemical potential $\mu \neq 0$, we have expected that the triplet or doublet zero modes exist only when q or Q is odd, respectively, whereas no zero modes in the singlet states. Technically speaking, the derivation of the indices of the triplet and doublet states is essentially the same with that of one component [40, 44], but that of the singlet states is quite nontrivial; the index of the singlets is q if we set Δ_Q to zero by hand, but it jumps to $2Q$ once non-zero Δ_Q is turned on, however small it may be.

In particular, as the most fundamental non-Abelian vortices, the non-Abelian M_1 vortex ($q = 1$ and $Q = 0$) carrying $1/3$ quantized circulation of $U(1)_B$, has one triplet, no doublet and no singlet Majorana zero modes. Although the number of triplets agrees with the previous result in [27], that of singlet does not seem so at first glance. However we have shown in Appendix A that the asymptotic zero mode at large distance from the vortex core found in [27] diverges in the core. The index does

not count such a non-normalizable mode, and hence, no inconsistency exists. On the other hand, the non-Abelian M_2 vortex ($q = 0$ and $Q = 1$) carrying $2/3$ quantized circulation of $U(1)_B$, has no triplet, one doublet and no singlet Majorana zero modes.

Before closing this paper, several discussions are addressed here.

We have calculated the indices for non-Abelian vortices of a composite of q M_1 and Q M_2 types, where the $SU(2)_{C+F} \times U(1)_{C+F}$ symmetry remains in the core of vortices. Generalization to the case with three components having all different winding numbers is straightforward, in which case only $U(1)_{C+F}^2$ symmetry remains.

Although we have considered (composite) vortices placed in the same position, they can be separated if they are composite. In fact, a non-Abelian M_2 vortex can be decomposed into two non-Abelian M_1 vortices winding in different components. It is an interesting problem to see how the indices of $SU(2)$ multiplets change from M_2 to M_1 's in this process. Here, the positions of the vortices play a crucial role as a new degree of freedom. One doublet zero mode of one M_2 vortex will disappear, as it separates into two M_1 vortices, and when they are well separated in position, a triplet zero mode will appear in each of two M_1 vortices. They are at the zero energy when two M_1 vortices are infinitely separated. Thus, the doublet zero modes are lifted to non-zero modes in a process of decomposition of one M_2 vortex into two M_1 vortices, whereas new zero modes appear in turn in the triplet states. It implies the existence of attractive and repulsive forces between two M_1 vortices, mediated by doublet and triplet (and maybe singlet) fermion modes, respectively.

A new non-Abelian statistics of non-Abelian M_1 vortices with triplet zero modes was studied [28], in which it was shown to be written as a tensor product of that of Abelian vortices in a chiral p -wave superconductor [4] and the Coxeter group. Our result implies that non-Abelian M_2 vortices have doublet zero modes, which may give another new non-Abelian statistics.

Non-Abelian vortices should exist in the core of neutron stars if the CFL phase is indeed realized there. They will constitute a vortex lattice because of the rapid rotation of the stars [55, 62]. It is known that fermion zero modes on vortex lines significantly change the transport properties of quasi-particles [63]. Fermion zero modes found in this paper will be essential in the study of dynamics of neutron stars, which may hopefully gives an observational signal.

Finally, the CFL phase admits a variety of topological defects; domain walls, Skyrmions [64], (confined) monopoles [59] and instantons [65]. A generalization to the index theorems in the presence of these topological defects will be an interesting work to be explored. It also counts edge modes at the phase boundary [61, 66] between the CFL phase and the hadron phase, if it exists. Also, the inclusion of strange quark masses [57] and an

extension to the other phases such as 2SC phase [25] will be important in application to more realistic situation.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from JSPS (Nos. 20340098 and 21540378) for Fukui and Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Nos. 20740141 and 23740198) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology-Japan for Nitta. This work was also supported by the “Topological Quantum Phenomena” (No. 23103502 for Fukui No. 23103515 for Nitta) Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan. Yasui is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas “Elucidation of New Hadrons with a Variety of Flavors” (No. 21105006) from the MEXT of Japan.

Appendix A: Singlet solutions in the asymptotic form at large r

The asymptotic form of a singlet zero mode of a non-Abelian M_1 vortex at large r was given in [27]. This is actually a candidate of zero-energy states, which is exponentially decreasing function at $r \rightarrow \infty$. However, the asymptotics at $r \rightarrow 0$ is also crucial for the normalizability of wave functions. To investigate the behavior of this solution extrapolated into a small r region, we need to solve the BdG equation numerically.

For numerical calculations with high accuracy, it is desirable that we have two independent solutions at $r \rightarrow \infty$. A linear combination of them is then a general solution including a parameter. Starting with such a generic wave function, we can investigate precisely the behavior of the numerical wave function extrapolated into $r \rightarrow 0$ by changing the parameter of the generic wave function at the boundary $r \rightarrow \infty$.

To this end, we will present not only the one shown in Ref. [27] but also another asymptotic solution at $r \rightarrow \infty$ in this Appendix, and report the result of the numerical computation of the BdG equation.

We show the explicit form of the wave functions of the singlet solution for the right mode ($\gamma_5 = +1$) in the Weyl representation

$$\hat{u}_r = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(r, \theta) \\ \eta_1(r, \theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{d}_g = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_2(r, \theta) \\ \eta_2(r, \theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

and

$$\hat{s}_b = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_3(r, \theta) \\ \eta_3(r, \theta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where

$$\varphi_i(r, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} f_i(r) \\ i g_i(r) e^{i\theta} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\eta_i(r, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \bar{f}_i(r) e^{-i\theta} \\ i \bar{g}_i(r) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

for $\hat{u}_r$ ($i = 1$) and $\hat{d}_g$ ($i = 2$), and

$$\varphi_3(r, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} f_3(r) e^{-i\theta} \\ i g_3(r) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\eta_3(r, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \bar{f}_3(r) \\ i \bar{g}_3(r) e^{i\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

for $\hat{s}_b$. Here we have the relations $\bar{f}_1(r) = -g_2(r)$, $\bar{g}_1(r) = f_2(r)$, $\bar{f}_2(r) = -g_1(r)$, $\bar{g}_2(r) = f_1(r)$, $\bar{f}_3(r) = -g_2(r)$ and $\bar{g}_3(r) = f_3(r)$ from the Majorana condition. At large r , both $|\Delta_0|$ and $|\Delta_1|$ become a common constant $|\Delta|$ given in the bulk state, $|\Delta_0|, |\Delta_1| \rightarrow |\Delta| \equiv \Delta_{\text{CFL}} (> 0)$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then, with an approximation of small $|\Delta|$ and large μ , we find asymptotic forms of the wave functions with a condition of the convergence at large r . The first solution is

$$f_i(r) = \mathcal{N} e^{-|\Delta|r/2} J_0(\mu r), \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$g_i(r) = \mathcal{N} e^{-|\Delta|r/2} J_1(\mu r), \quad (\text{A8})$$

($i = 1, 2$) and

$$f_3(r) = -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} e^{-|\Delta|r/2} J_0(\mu r), \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$g_3(r) = -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} e^{-|\Delta|r/2} J_1(\mu r), \quad (\text{A10})$$

with a normalization constant $\mathcal{N}$. This is the solution given in the previous work [27]. As a second solution, we find a new asymptotic solution, which was not considered in the previous work,

$$f'_i(r) = \mathcal{N}' e^{-|\Delta|r/2} \frac{\pi}{4} (\mu r)^2 J_1(\mu r) (J_1(\mu r) N_0(\mu r) - J_0(\mu r) N_1(\mu r)), \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$g'_i(r) = \mathcal{N}' e^{-|\Delta|r/2} \frac{1}{4} (-\mu r J_0(\mu r) + J_1(\mu r)), \quad (\text{A12})$$

($i = 1, 2$) and

$$f'_3(r) = \mathcal{N}' e^{-|\Delta|r/2} \frac{\mu}{8|\Delta|} \left\{ 4(\mu r J_0(\mu r) - J_1(\mu r)) - \pi(\mu r)^2 \left(2J_0(\mu r) + \frac{|\Delta|}{\mu} J_1(\mu r) \right) (J_1(\mu r) N_0(\mu r) - J_0(\mu r) N_1(\mu r)) \right\}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$g'_3(r) = \mathcal{N}' e^{-|\Delta|r/2} \frac{\mu}{4|\Delta|} \left\{ (2 + |\Delta|r) J_0(\mu r) - \left(\frac{|\Delta|}{\mu} + 2\mu r \right) J_1(\mu r) + \pi(\mu r)^2 J_1(\mu r) (J_1(\mu r) N_0(\mu r) - J_0(\mu r) N_1(\mu r)) \right\}, \quad (\text{A14})$$

with a normalization constant $\mathcal{N}'$. It should be emphasized that these asymptotic solutions are correct only at large r , at which $|\Delta_0|$ and $|\Delta_1|$ are constant. However, these solutions may be divergent in small r in general, because $|\Delta_1|$ becomes zero at $r = 0$, and we find that it is the case. In order to see the behavior at small r , we have solved numerically the BdG equation with assuming an approximate r -dependence of $\Delta_0(r)$ and $\Delta_1(r)$ (for example, $\Delta_0(r) = \Delta_{\text{CFL}} = \text{const.} > 0$ and $\Delta_1(r) = \Delta_{\text{CFL}} \tanh \xi r$ with a coherence length ξ) with starting from large r in which these asymptotic solutions are given as a boundary condition. As a numerical result,

we have found that the wave functions become divergent at $r = 0$ for any linear combinations of the first and the second asymptotic solutions as a boundary condition. It means that these asymptotic solutions are not normalizable in the whole range of r . Therefore it is confirmed that there is no normalizable zero-energy state in the singlet, consistent with the result of the index theorem in the text.

Appendix B: Analytic solution of the differential equations

We have counted the zero modes based on a simple assumption that the leading power of the particular solutions for Eq. (4.5) near $r \sim 0$ is given by the diagonal elements of the matrix $M_{\pm}$ in Eqs. (4.6) and (4.11). In this Appendix we show it indeed possible to find such solutions.

We assume that the differential equation has a power series solution

$$\psi = r^{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{\lambda+n} r^n. \quad (\text{B1})$$

Putting this as well as the Taylor expansion of Ω in Eq. (4.7) into the differential equation Eq. (4.5), we can write the differential equation as

$$(\lambda - M)\psi_{\lambda} r^{\lambda-1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ (\lambda + n + 1 - M)\psi_{\lambda+n+1} + \sum_{l=0}^n \Omega_{n-l} \psi_{\lambda+l} \right\} r^{n+\lambda} = 0, \quad (\text{B2})$$

where $M_{\pm}$ has been denoted simply as M . This gives

$$(\lambda - M)\psi_{\lambda} = 0, \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$(\lambda + n + 1 - M)\psi_{\lambda+n+1} + \sum_{l=0}^n \Omega_{n-l} \psi_{\lambda+l} = 0, \quad (\text{B4})$$

with $n \geq 0$ for the latter. From Eq. (B3), it follows that λ should be one of the diagonal elements of M . For the largest value of λ , Eq. (B4) can be solved recursively, whereas for smaller λ , we could meet the difficulty, since at a certain n , $\det(\lambda + n + 1 - M) = 0$.

1. Case of triplet and doublets

Without loss of generality, we can set

$$M = \text{diag}(p, s), \quad p \geq s, \quad (\text{B5})$$

where p and s are integers. As mentioned above, the leading power λ is given by $\lambda = p$ and $\lambda = s$. Corre-

spondingly, Eq. (B3) gives two solutions $\psi_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2$) as

$$\psi_p^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_s^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

If $p = s$, we see $\det(n + \lambda + 1 - M) \neq 0$ for $n \geq 0$. We can find $\psi_{n+\lambda+1}^{(i)}$ recursively by (B4). We thus obtain two solutions. Henceforth, we assume $p > q$.

a. Generic case of $|\Delta_q| \sim r$

First of all, let us consider the most generic case and give the solutions of Eq. (4.5) just by assuming Eq. (4.7). For $\lambda = p$, higher $\psi_{p+n+1}^{(1)}$ ($n \geq 0$) can be obtained recursively by Eq. (B4), and the solution is given by

$$\psi^{(1)}(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+p}^{(1)} r^{n+p}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

For the smaller power $\lambda = s$, the recursion relation is ill-defined at $n = p - s - 1 \geq 0$, since $\det(p - M) = 0$. This has a close relationship with the well-known fact that the Bessel function J_n and J_{-n} are not independent when n is an integer, and the Neumann function Y_n in addition to the Bessel function J_n can be regarded as two independent solutions of Bessel's differential equation. In the present problem, the standard technique of solving differential equations leads to the following ansatz

$$\psi^{(2)}(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+s}^{(2)} r^{n+s} + a\psi^{(1)}(r) \ln r, \quad (\text{B8})$$

where a is an unknown constant to be determined. Substituting this into Eq. (4.5) modifies Eq. (B4) such that

$$(s + n + 1 - M)\psi_{s+n+1}^{(2)} + \sum_{l=0}^n \Omega_{n-l}\psi_{s+l}^{(2)} + a\psi_{s+n+1}^{(1)} = 0, \quad (\text{B9})$$

where $\psi_{n+s+1}^{(1)} = 0$ for $n = 0, \dots, p - s - 2$ is assumed. The difficulty at $n = p - s - 1$ due to $\det(p - M) = 0$ is avoided by introducing a parameter a . We can determine both a and $\psi_p^{(2)}$ by this equation. For details, especially for the uniqueness of the solution, see the discussions in App. B 2 b. Once these are obtained, we solve $\psi_{n+s+1}^{(2)}$ for $n \geq p - s$ recursively. We thus obtain the second solution (B8). Although it contains $\ln r$, the expected leading power behaviors near the origin is not altered.

b. Case of $|\Delta_q| \sim r^{|q|}$

So far we have shown that if the diagonal elements of M are different integers, the solutions include generically a $\ln r$ term. We will show below that if we assume $|\Delta_q| \sim r^{|q|}$, the normalizable solutions of Eq. (4.5) do not include such a term, and they can be given by purely power series of r .

We restrict our discussions to $\Gamma^3 = +1$ and $q \geq 1$, for definiteness. Then,

$$M = M_+ = \text{diag}(m, q - m - 1), \quad (\text{B10})$$

and normalizability requires $0 \leq m \leq q - 1$. We explore the case $m > q - m - 1$ only. The solution for the larger $\lambda = m$ is given by (B7). For the smaller $\lambda = q - m - 1$, we can choose $\psi_{q-m-1}^{(2)}$ to be the second one in Eq. (B6). Eq. (B4) gives recursively $\psi_{n+q-m}^{(2)}$ for $0 \leq n < 2m - q$. The problematic equation at $n = 2m - q$ can be satisfied simply by choosing $\psi_m^{(2)} = 0$, since couplings with Ω_q only appear in (B4) for $n \geq q$, whereas $0 \leq 2m - q \leq q - 2$. Eq. (B4) then determines ψ_{n+q-m} for $n > 2m - q$, giving the second solution

$$\psi^{(2)}(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+q-m-1}^{(2)} r^{n+q-m-1}. \quad (\text{B11})$$

Therefore, in this case, there are no terms including $\ln r$.

2. Case of singlet

This case is rather complicated, since Eq. (4.5) is composed of four coupled equations. We first rearrange the differential equation Eq. (4.5) for the singlet case to satisfy

$$M = \text{diag}(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4), \quad n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq n_4, \quad (\text{B12})$$

and introduce a set of normalized eigenvectors $\psi_{n_i}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) by

$$\psi_{n_1}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dots, \quad \psi_{n_4}^{(4)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B13})$$

The solutions of Eq. (4.5) near the origin change their forms if any of n_i are equal. Such degeneracies are classified into eight different cases. We consider these separately. In particular, when there are more than two different diagonal elements, there appear $(\ln r)^n$ corrections with $n \geq 2$, which is a new feature absent in the previous triplet and doublet cases.

a. $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4$

We define $p = n_1$. Eq. (B3) gives four independent solutions $\psi_p = \psi_p^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 4$) for $\lambda = p$. Eq. (B4) then recursively determine $\psi_{p+n+1} = \psi_{p+n+1}^{(i)}$. We thus obtain four solutions given by

$$\psi^{(i)}(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+p}^{(i)} r^{n+p}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, 4). \quad (\text{B14})$$

b. $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 > n_4$

Let us define $p = n_1$ and $s = n_4$. Then, three solutions $\psi^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) with $\lambda = p$ can be obtained as in the case of App. B 2 a. The fourth solution for $\lambda = s$ can be assumed to be

$$\psi^{(4)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+s}^{(4)} r^{n+s} + \sum_{i=1,2,3} a^{(4,i)} \psi^{(i)}(r) \ln r, \quad (\text{B15})$$

where $a^{(4,i)}$ are some constants. The recursion relations for the coefficients can be found by inserting Eq. (B15) into Eq. (4.5). They read

$$(s - M)\psi_s^{(4)} = 0, \quad (\text{B16})$$

$$(s + n + 1 - M)\psi_{s+n+1}^{(4)} + \sum_{l=0}^n \Omega_{n-l}\psi_{s+l}^{(4)} + \sum_{i=1,2,3} a^{(4,i)} \psi_{s+n+1}^{(i)} = 0, \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (\text{B17})$$

where $\psi_{s+n}^{(1,2,3)} = 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, p-s-2$ is assumed. Eq. (B16) is automatically satisfied by the fourth vector in Eq. (B13). Eq. (B17) determines $\psi_{s+1}^{(4)}, \dots, \psi_{p-1}^{(4)}$ recursively since $\det(s+n+1-M) \neq 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, p-s-2$. Eq. (B17) for $n = p-s-1$ needs some care because of $\det(p-M) = 0$. It gives $a^{(4,i)}$ without ambiguity, whereas $\psi_p^{(4)}$ cannot be determined uniquely since we can freely modify $\psi_p^{(4)}$ by $\sum_{i=1,2,3} c_i \psi_p^{(i)}$, where c_i are arbitrary constants. It can be seen that such an arbitrariness does not give any new independent solutions and can be removed simply by assuming $\psi_p^{(4)} \propto \psi_s^{(4)}$, i.e., the fourth in Eq. (B13). Once $\psi_p^{(4)}$ and $a^{(4,i)}$ are obtained, we can find $\psi_{s+n+1}^{(4)}$ for $n \geq p$.

We shall be brief since we can infer the solutions for any given M in a manner similar to these.

$$c. \quad n_1 = n_2 > n_3 = n_4$$

We define $p = n_1$ and $s = n_3$. Two solutions $\psi^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2$) with $\lambda = p$ can be obtained as in the case of App. B 2 a. Other two solutions $\psi^{(i)}$ ($i = 3, 4$) with $\lambda = s$ can be written as

$$\psi^{(i)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+s}^{(i)} r^{n+s} + \sum_{j=1,2} a^{(i,j)} \psi^{(j)} \ln r. \quad (\text{B18})$$

We can find all the coefficients $\psi_{n+s}^{(i)}$ ($n > 0$) and $a^{(i,j)}$ as in the case of App. B 2 b.

$$d. \quad n_1 = n_2 > n_3 > n_4$$

Defining $p = n_1$ and $s = n_3$, we obtain three solutions $\psi^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) as in the case of App. B 2 c. The fourth solution takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(4)} = & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+t}^{(4)} r^{n+t} + \sum_{i=1,2} \left(a^{(4,i)} \ln r + \frac{1}{2} b^{(4,i)} (\ln r)^2 \right) \psi^{(i)} \\ & + a^{(4,3)} \psi^{(3)} \ln r, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B19})$$

where $t = n_4$. It should be stressed that $(\ln r)^2$ term appears. In cases where M has more than two different diagonal elements, there appear higher $\ln r$ corrections.

$$e. \quad n_1 > n_2 = n_3 = n_4$$

This case is similar to App. B 2 b or B 2 c. We can find solutions of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(1)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+p}^{(1)} r^{n+p}, \\ \psi^{(i)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+s}^{(i)} r^{n+s} + a^{(i,1)} \psi^{(1)} \ln r, \quad (i = 2, 3, 4) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B20})$$

where $p = n_1$ and $s = n_2$.

$$f. \quad n_1 > n_2 = n_3 > n_4$$

The solutions can be found in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(1)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+p}^{(1)} r^{n+p}, \\ \psi^{(i)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+s}^{(i)} r^{n+s} + a^{(i,1)} \psi^{(1)} \ln r, \quad (i = 2, 3) \\ \psi^{(4)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+t}^{(4)} r^{n+t} + \left(a^{(4,1)} \ln r + \frac{1}{2} b^{(4,1)} (\ln r)^2 \right) \psi^{(1)} \\ &+ \sum_{i=2,3} a^{(4,i)} \psi^{(i)} \ln r, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B21})$$

where $p = n_1$, $s = n_2$ and $t = n_4$.

$$g. \quad n_1 > n_2 > n_3 = n_4$$

The solutions can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{(1)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+p}^{(1)} r^{n+p}, \\ \psi^{(2)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+s}^{(2)} r^{n+s} + a^{(2,1)} \psi^{(1)} \ln r, \\ \psi^{(i)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+t}^{(i)} r^{n+t} + \left(a^{(i,1)} \ln r + \frac{1}{2} b^{(i,1)} (\ln r)^2 \right) \psi^{(1)} \\ &+ a^{(i,2)} \psi^{(2)} \ln r, \quad (i = 3, 4) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B22})$$

where $p = n_1$, $s = n_2$ and $t = n_3$.

$$h. \quad n_1 > n_2 > n_3 > n_4$$

where $p = n_1$, $s = n_2$, $t = n_3$ and $k = n_4$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{(1)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+p}^{(1)} r^{n+p}, \\
\psi^{(2)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+s}^{(2)} r^{n+s} + a^{(2,1)} \psi^{(1)} \ln r, \\
\psi^{(3)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+t}^{(3)} r^{n+t} + \left(a^{(3,1)} \ln r + \frac{1}{2} b^{(3,1)} (\ln r)^2 \right) \psi^{(1)} \\
&\quad + a^{(3,2)} \psi^{(2)} \ln r, \\
\psi^{(4)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{n+k}^{(4)} r^{n+k} \\
&\quad + \left(a^{(4,1)} \ln r + \frac{1}{2} b^{(4,1)} (\ln r)^2 + \frac{1}{3} c^{(4,1)} (\ln r)^3 \right) \psi^{(1)} \\
&\quad + \left(a^{(4,2)} \ln r + \frac{1}{2} b^{(4,2)} (\ln r)^2 \right) \psi^{(2)} + a^{(4,3)} \psi^{(3)} \ln r,
\end{aligned} \tag{B23}$$

In any cases, $(\ln r)^n$ terms appear in nonleading order of r . We thus conclude that the solutions behave as

$$\psi^{(i)}(r) \underset{r \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \psi_{n_i}^{(i)} r^{n_i}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, 4). \tag{B24}$$

This justifies the counting rule of the number of regular solutions at the origin in Sec. IV.

-
- [1] G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. **70**, 609–614 (1999).
 - [2] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 10267 (2000).
 - [3] A. Kitaev, Proceedings of the Mesoscopic and Strongly Correlated Electron Systems Conference, Chernogolovka, Moscow Region, Russia, 9-16 July 2000 (unpublished), (arXiv:cond-mat/0010440).
 - [4] D. A. Ivanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 268 (2001).
 - [5] A. Stern, F. von Oppen, and E. Mariani, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 205338 (2004).
 - [6] S. Das Sarma, C. Nayak, and S. Tewari, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 220502 (2006).
 - [7] S. Tewari, S. Das Sarma, and D.-H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 037001 (2007).
 - [8] V. Gurarie and L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 212509 (2007).
 - [9] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2 (2006).
 - [10] A. Kitaev, arXiv:quant-ph/9707021.
 - [11] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1083 (2008).
 - [12] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 096407 (2008).
 - [13] Y. Tanaka, T. Yokoyama, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 107002 (2009).
 - [14] M. Sato, Y. Takahashi, and S. Fujimoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 020401 (2009).
 - [15] G. E. Volovik, Pis'ma ZhETF **90**, 639 (2009). (arXiv:0909.3084)
 - [16] X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, S. Raghu, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 187001 (2009).
 - [17] D. L. Bergman and K. Le Hur, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 184520 (2009).
 - [18] J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, S. Tewari, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 040502 (2010).
 - [19] P. A. Lee, arXiv:09072681.
 - [20] J. Alicea, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 125318 (2010).
 - [21] I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 066404 (2010).
 - [22] J. Linder, Y. Tanaka, T. Yokoyama, A. Sudbo, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 067001 (2010).
 - [23] I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 205429 (2010).
 - [24] M. G. Alford, K. Rajagopal, F. Wilczek, Nucl. Phys. B **537**, 443 (1999).
 - [25] M. G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal, T. Schafer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1455 (2008).
 - [26] Y. Nishida, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 074004 (2010).
 - [27] S. Yasui, K. Itakura, and M. Nitta, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 105003 (2010).
 - [28] S. Yasui, K. Itakura and M. Nitta, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 134518 (2011).
 - [29] M. A. Earnshaw and W. B. Perkins, Phys. Lett. B **328**, 337 (1994) [arXiv:hep-ph/9402218].
 - [30] J. M. Moreno, D. H. Oaknin and M. Quiros, Phys. Lett. B **347**, 332 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9411411].
 - [31] J. Garriga and T. Vachaspati, Nucl. Phys. B **438**, 161 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9411375].
 - [32] D. Stojkovic, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 025010 (2000).
 - [33] G. Starkman, D. Stojkovic, and T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 085011 (2002).
 - [34] G. Starkman, D. Stojkovic, and T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 065003 (2002).
 - [35] J. C. Y. Teo and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 046401 (2010).
 - [36] J. C. Y. Teo and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 115120 (2010).
 - [37] A. Schnyder, S. Ryu, A. Furusaki, and A. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 195125 (2008); AIP Conf. Proc. **1134**, 10 (2009).
 - [38] A. Kitaev, Proceedings of the L.D.Landau Memorial Conference “Advances in Theoretical Physics”, Chernogolovka, Moscow region, Russia, 22-26 June 2008 (unpublished).

- [39] C. Callias, Commun. Math. Phys. **62**, 213 (1978).
- [40] E. J. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 2669 (1981).
- [41] A. Kitaev, arXiv:cond-mat/0010440.
- [42] S. Tewari, J. D. Sau, and A. Das Sarma, Annals Phys. **325**, 219 (2010).
- [43] J. C. Y. Teo and C. L. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 046401 (2010).
- [44] T. Fukui and T. Fujiwara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**, 033701 (2010).
- [45] C.-Y. Hou, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 075427 (2010).
- [46] L. Santos, T. Neupert, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 184502 (2010).
- [47] L. Santos, S. Ryu, C. Chamon, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 165101 (2010).
- [48] J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, S. Tewari, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 094522 (2010).
- [49] For a review, see, e.g., T. Eguchi, P. B. Gilkey, and A. J. Hanson, Phys. Rep. **66**, 213 (1980).
- [50] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 3398 (1976).
- [51] R. Jackiw and P. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. B **190**, 681 (1981).
- [52] T. Fukui, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 214516 (2010).
- [53] T. Fukui and T. Fujiwara, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 184536 (2010).
- [54] A. P. Balachandran, S. Digal, T. Matsuura, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 074009 (2006).
- [55] E. Nakano, M. Nitta, T. Matsuura, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 045002 (2008); Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **174**, 254 (2008).
- [56] M. Eto, M. Nitta, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 125007 (2009); M. Eto, E. Nakano, M. Nitta, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 125011 (2009).
- [57] M. Eto, M. Nitta, N. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 161601 (2010).
- [58] Y. Hirono, T. Kanazawa and M. Nitta, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 085018 (2011).
- [59] A. Gorsky, M. Shifman, A. Yung, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 085027 (2011); M. Eto, M. Nitta and N. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 085005 (2011).
- [60] M. G. Alford, J. Berges and K. Rajagopal, Nucl. Phys. B **558**, 219 (1999).
- [61] M. Sadzikowski, M. Tachibana, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 045024 (2002).
- [62] D. M. Sedrakian, K. M. Shahabasyan, D. Blaschke and K. M. Shahabasyan, Astrophysics **51**, 544 (2008); M. K. Shahabasyan, Astrophysics **52**, 151 (2009).
- [63] See, e.g., G. E. Volovik, "The Universe in a Helium Droplet," Clarendon Press, Oxford (2003).
- [64] D. K. Hong, M. Rho, I. Zahed, Phys. Lett. **B468**, 261 (1999).
- [65] T. Schafer, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 094033 (2002); N. Yamamoto, JHEP **0812**, 060 (2008).
- [66] I. Giannakis, H. -c. Ren, Nucl. Phys. B **669**, 462 (2003).
- [67] However, these modes are gapped if one takes into account the non-perturbative effect in the vortex world-sheet theory [59].
- [68] In Ref. [54] anti-vortex of (2.11) was referred to as M_2 because it has the same color magnetic flux with M_1 , whereas M_2 here in Eq. (2.11) has the flux of the same magnitude with M_1 with a minus sign.